



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/518,544	02/02/2006	Bernd Rosskopf	ROSS3008/FJD	9551
23364	7590	12/10/2008	EXAMINER	
BACON & THOMAS, PLLC 625 SLATERS LANE FOURTH FLOOR ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314-1176			NOLAND, THOMAS	
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2856		
		MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE
		12/10/2008		PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/518,544	ROSSKOPF, BERND	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Thomas P. Noland	2856	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 August 2008.

2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 12-14, 16-18 and 20-22 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 12-14, 16-18 and 20-22 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ .
3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.	6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ .

1. The amendment filed Aug. 22, 2008 has been entered.

2. Claims 12-14, 16-18 and 20-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

There is no proper antecedent for " each set of one or more neighboring pressure sensors " in claim 12, lines 6-7. It is unclear if they the same as the " set of equally constructed sensors for measuring a physical variable " in line 2 and if they are the same if pressure is the physical variable. In line 9 - - - and - - - should apparently be inserted before " display ". In line 13 " and/or is not specific. In claim 13, lines 2-3 " , such as a median or an arithmetic means, " should be deleted. In line 1 of claims 16 and 17 " claim 15 " should apparently be replaced with - - - claim 12 - - -. In claim 16, line 2 and claim 17, line 3 " said " should apparently be replaced with - - - the - - -. The linkage, ?, between the pressure sensors of claim 18 and those now introduced in claim 12 should be clarified. In claim 20, line 1 " claim 19 " should apparently be replaced with - - - claim 12 - - -.

3. Claims 12-14, 16-18 and 20-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gerst et al.

Gerst et al especially as seen from its abstract, drawing, col. 1, line 63-col. 3, line 5 and col. 4, line 24-col. 6, line 19 discloses a transmitter substantially as claimed. It differs in not disclosing averaging of sensing data, discarding of signals out of range, having the sensors produced by a batch process and arranged on a plate, having the circuits on

such a plate or emitting an alarm when implausible or non functional by more than a minimum. However all these data handling features are known or obvious ways to manage data from plural sensors in order to avoid indicating faults unless defective to a predetermined extent and thus would have been obvious to have been used in a system similar to that of Gerst et al to achieve the known benefits thereof. The manufacturing technique chosen is a well known way to produce electronic sensors to achieve standardization or economy and thus would have been obvious to have been used in a system similar to that of Gerst et al to achieve these known benefits. the sensors in Gerst et al appear readily producible by such a well known technique and placeable on such a base plate.

4. Applicant's arguments filed Aug. 22, 2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The new additional imitation combination appears obvious for the reasons set forth.

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 2856

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tom Noland whose telephone number is (571) 272-2202. The examiner can normally be reached on weekdays from 9:00 to 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Hezron E. Williams, can be reached on (571) 272-2208.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to 2800 Customer Service at (571) 272-2815.

**/Thomas P. Noland/
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2856**

Dec. 7, 2008